

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney JASON HITT		
	Assistant United States Attorney		
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814		
4			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-MJ-00067-AC	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING	
12	v.	PURSUANT TO RULE 5.1(d) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME	
13	KAMIL MISZTAL,	OF THVIE	
14	Defendant.		
15			
16	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, Assistant United States		
17	Attorney Jason Hitt, and defendant Kamil Misztal, both individually and by and through his counsel of		
18	record, John Kucera, Esq., hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	1. The Complaint in this case was filed on April 29, 2022, and defendant first appeared		
20	before a judicial officer of the Court in which the charges in this case were pending on May 12, 2022.		
21	The court set a preliminary hearing date of June 2, 2022. The date has been extended to February 24,		
22	2023, by stipulation.		
23	2. By this stipulation, the parties join	ntly move for an extension of time of the preliminary	
24	hearing date to May 12, 2023, at 2:00 p.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge, pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of		
25	the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The parties stipulate that the delay is required to allow the		
26	defense reasonable time for preparation, and for the government's continuing investigation of the case.		
27	The parties further agree that the interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the		
28	best interests of the public and the defendant in a	speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The	

1	government has provided disclosures in the case and it consists of written reports, photographs, audio
2	files, and related materials.
3	3. The parties agree that good cause exists for the extension of time, and that the extension
4	of time would not adversely affect the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.
5	Therefore, the parties request that the time between February 23, 2023, and May 12, 2023, be excluded
6	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv), Local Code T-4.
7	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
9	Dated: February 23, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT
10	Dated: February 23, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
11	/s/ Jason Hitt
12	JASON HITT Assistant United States Attorney
13	Assistant Office States Attorney
14	Dated: February 23, 2023 /s/ John Kucera
15	JOHN KUCERA, Esq.
16	Counsel for Defendant Kamil Misztal
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 2:22-MJ-00067-AC 10 Plaintiff. ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO RULE 11 5.1(d) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME v. 12 KAMIL MISZTAL. 13 Defendant. 14 15 The Court has reviewed the stipulation of the parties in this matter requesting an extension of 16 time of the preliminary hearing date to May 12, 2023, at 2:00 p.m., pursuant to Rule 5.1(d) of the 17 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Based upon the representations of the parties, the Court makes the 18 following findings: 19 1. Good cause exists to extend the preliminary hearing to May 12, 2023, at 2:00 p.m., before the assigned duty Magistrate Judge. Fed. R. Crim. P. Rule 5.1(d); and 20 2. The interests of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests 21 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and time is therefore excluded from the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), Local Code T4. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 Dated: February 23, 2023 25 26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 27 28